Multiscale Phenomena and Singularity in Fluids: Computation and Analysis

Yixuan (Roy) Wang*

Caltech ACM roywang@caltech.edu

Candidacy Talk

May 11, 2023

S / 1		
Vivuon	- NA	ana
IIAuaii	• • •	ane

Multiscale and Singularity

May 11, 2023 1/33

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

1 Exponentially Convergent Multiscale Finite Element Method

2 Self-similar Blowup in Fluid Dynamics

- Dynamic Rescaling Formulation
- Results on 1D Models
- Future Work: beyond Self-similarity

VIVII	h 1/1	ana
11/1/1/1/1	all v v	ane

Summary of our contribution: ExpMsFEM

Systematic approach for solving multi-query multiscale problems efficiently using **offline bases**, with state-of-the-art accuracy **rigorously**.

- For elliptic equations: *Multiscale Modeling & Simulation* 2021
- For Helmholtz equations: *Multiscale Modeling & Simulation* 2023
- Review paper: Communications on Applied Mathematics and Computation 2023

Joint work with Chen, Hou.

Ongoing collaboration on generalization to the Schrödinger equation.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Multiscale model reduction

• Model problem in 2D and 3D:

 $-\nabla \cdot (A(x)\nabla u) - P(x)u = f$, in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, w/ boundary conditions

wave mechanics, subsurface flows, electrostatics, seismology.

Heterogeneity: $A, P \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ without scale separation.

$$0 < A_{\min} \le A(x) \le A_{\max}$$
. $f \in L^2(\Omega)$.

- Highly Oscillatory solutions.
- Model reduction: use a small number of local basis functions to achieve desired accuracy theoretically and numerically.
 - Desirable if same offline bases can be used with different f.

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト 二日

Literature on multiscale methods for elliptic equations

Local bases + global coupling

- Multiscale Finite Element Methods (MsFEM): Hou, Wu 1997
- Genealized Finite Element Methods (GFEM) via Partition of Unity Method (PUM): Babuska, Lipton 2011

Global bases via variational problem + local truncation

- Gamblets: Owhadi-Zhang-Berlyand 2014
- Localizable Orthogonal Decompositions (LOD): Malqvist, Peterseim 2014
- VMS 1998, HMM 2003...

Helmholtz equation and pollution effect

Helmholtz equation with high wave number k:

$$\mathcal{L}_k u\coloneqq -\nabla\cdot (A\nabla u)-k^2V^2u=f, \text{ in }\Omega, \quad \text{w/ boundary conditions}$$

where $V \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Numerical difficulty: pollution effect (Babuska, Sauter 1997)

- Maximal mesh size to address the wave length: O(1/k).
- Standard FEM: local mesh size $H = O(1/k^2)$.
- Ideal method: H = O(1/k)!
- Mathematical challenge: indefinite operator.

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Overcoming the pollution effect

Two key insights and methods that capture oscillation with ${\cal O}({\cal H})$ error

• Gårding-type inequality: good approximation implies good solution. hp-FEM with polynomial of order $O(\log k)$. (Melenk, Sauter 2010)

 Poincaré inequality: local problem resembles elliptic problem. LOD with support size O(H log(1/H) log k). (Peterseim 2017)
 Our method: Best of (G) and (P)

ExpMsFEM with first exponential rate of convergence. (C-H-W)

• Later: PUM with same rate of convergence. (Ma-Alber-Scheichl) Four methods have comparable complexity if aimed at minimal accuracy: O(1/k) error in **energy norm**, mesh size O(1/k), DoF $O(k^d \text{poly}(\log(k)))$.

3

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Explore the solution space (G)

- Mesh structure in 2D: nodes, edges and elements.
- Split the solution locally (P): in each *T*, *u* = *u*^h_{*T*} + *u*^b_{*T*}.

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_k u_T^{\mathsf{h}} = 0 \text{ in } T \\ u_T^{\mathsf{h}} = u \text{ on } \partial T, \\ \\ \mathcal{L}_k u_T^{\mathsf{b}} = f \text{ in } T \\ u_T^{\mathsf{b}} = 0 \text{ on } \partial T. \end{cases}$$



 $x \in \mathcal{N}_H, e \in \mathcal{E}_H, T \in \mathcal{T}_H$

• Merge: For each T, $u^{\mathsf{h}}(x) = u_T^{\mathsf{h}}(x)$ and $u^{\mathsf{b}}(x) = u_T^{\mathsf{b}}(x)$, when $x \in T$.

Key insights of exponential accuracy

- (Generalized) harmonic-bubble splitting (Hetmaniuk, Lehoucq 2010), (Hou, Liu 2016)
- Edge localization
- Oversampling (Hou, Wu 1997) for low-complexity edge space

Theorem (Informal statement of exponentially efficient edge bases)

Suppose H = O(1/k), then for each edge e, we can find m local edge bases such that the relative error using those edge bases to approximate any edge function is at most $C \exp\left(-bm^{\frac{1}{d+1}}\right)$.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Sketch of our result

On a mesh of lengthscale H = O(1/k), u can be computed by

$$u = \underbrace{\sum_{i \in I_1} c_i^f \psi_i^{(1)}}_{(\mathbf{I})} + \underbrace{\sum_{i \in I_2} \psi_i^f}_{(\mathbf{I}), O(H)} + C \exp(-bm^{\frac{1}{d+1}})$$
 (Energy norm)

b, C constants independent of H, k. $\psi_i^{(1)}, \psi_i^f$ local support of size H. • $\psi_i^{(1)}$ via local SVD of \mathcal{L}_k , offline, parallelizable $\#I_1 = O(m/H^d)$ • ψ_i^f via solving locally $\mathcal{L}_k u = f$ online, parallelizable $\#I_2 = O(1/H^d)$ • c_i^f obtained by Galerkin methods with bases $\psi_i^{(1)}$; offline matrix A data-adaptive coarse-fine scale decomposition

▲ロト ▲撮 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト 一臣 - のへで

Artificial example with rough media and high wavelength

Rough media, high wavelength $k = 2^5$ with mixed boundary conditions.



Figure: Left: the contour of A; right: relative errors in the energy norm.

Exponential decaying error; works better in practice than PUM.

Backup example of high wavenumber

•
$$A = V = \beta = 1$$
, $k = 2^7$, fine mesh $h = 2^{-10}$, coarse mesh $H = 2^{-5}$.

• Exact solution: $u(x_1, x_2) = \exp(-ik(0.6x_1 + 0.8x_2))$.



Figure: High wavenumber example. Left: $e_{\mathcal{H}}$ versus m; right: e_{L^2} versus m.

Backup example of high contrast: Mie resonances

$$\Omega_{\varepsilon} = (0.25, 0.75)^2 \cap \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \varepsilon \left(j + (0.25, 0.75)^2 \right), \quad A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \notin \Omega_{\varepsilon} \\ \varepsilon^2, & x \in \Omega_{\varepsilon} \end{cases}.$$

$$\beta = 1, V = 1, k = 9.$$



Figure: High contrast example. Left: $e_{\mathcal{H}}$ versus m; right: e_{L^2} versus m.

		•	A /	
· •		un ۱	/// つ	na
	Auc			112

May 11, 2023 13

13/33

Exponentially Convergent Multiscale Finite Element Method

2 Self-similar Blowup in Fluid Dynamics

- Dynamic Rescaling Formulation
- Results on 1D Models
- Future Work: beyond Self-similarity

Millennium prize problem: blowup of 3D NS equation

• 3D incompressible Navier-Stokes equation:

$$\mathbf{u}_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = -\nabla \mathbf{p} + \nu \Delta \mathbf{u}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0.$$
 (1)

- Euler equation: $\nu = 0$. NS equation $\nu > 0$.
- Blowup of a quantity of interest f:

$$\limsup_{t \to T^-} \|f(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} = \infty, \quad T < +\infty.$$

Millennium prize problem: global well-posedness or finite time blowup of (1) from smooth initial data on the whole space.

S / 1	
Vivuon	Wang
I IAuaii	vvang
	<u> </u>

Self-similar blowup and axisymmetric equation

Structured singularity with less DoF

Self-similar blowup:

$$f(t, \mathbf{x}) = (T - t)^{c_f} F(\mathbf{x}/(T - t)^{c_l}).$$
 (2)

T: blowup time; $c_f < 0$: blowup rate.

- **Axisymmetric** Euler equation: cylindrical formulation (r, z, θ) , velocity independent of θ .
- Hou-Luo 2013 : numerical evidence of self-similar blowup for smooth initial data of 3D axisymmetric Euler equation with boundary. Chen-Hou 2022 : rigorous proof of blowup.

Self-similar blowup candidates of 3D axisymmetric Euler

Blowup on the **boundary** for H-L case



Boundary helps blowup!

• Our goal: identify and understand blowup in the interior

- (equivalently) Approaching millennium prize problem.
- Generalize blowup mechanism from 1D to 3D.

1D models with self-similar blowup: gCLM

• Vorticity formulation $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \nabla \times \mathbf{u}$ for 3D Euler:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_t + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \boldsymbol{\omega} = \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} \,. \tag{3}$$

Biot-Savart law, $\omega \to \mathbf{u}$ via nonlocal interaction: $\nabla \mathbf{u} = \mathcal{R}(\omega)$. \mathcal{R} : Riesz transform.

■ 1D model: generalized CLM model (Okamoto-Sakajo-Wunsch 2008):

$$\omega_t + au\omega_x = u_x\omega, \quad u_x = H\omega.$$
(4)

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

H: Hilbert transform, 1D analogue of Riesz transform.

a: strength of advection in competition with vortex stretching.

Singularity: numerical computation

$$\limsup_{t \to T^-} \|\mathbf{f}(t)\|_{L^{\infty}} = \infty, \quad T < +\infty.$$

1 Physical equation: compute "infinity"! Adaptive mesh in Hou-Luo.



2 Profile equation: $\mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{x}) = (T - t)^{c_{\mathbf{f}}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}/(T - t)^{c_l})$. Finite \mathbf{F} .

Yixuan Wang

May 11, 2023

19/33

Dynamic rescaling formulation

Profile equation for 1d gCLM model $\omega_t + au\omega_x = u_x\omega$. Plugging in

$$\omega(t,x) = (T-t)^{c_{\omega}} \Omega(x/(T-t)^{c_l}), u(t,x) = (T-t)^{c_{\omega}+c_l} U(x/(T-t)^{c_l})$$

the self-similar ansatz and balance the terms in t, we get:

$$(c_l y + aU) \,\Omega_y = (c_\omega + U_y) \,\Omega, \quad U_y = H\Omega \,. \tag{5}$$

■ Dynamic rescaling formulation (DRF) for time-depedent c_l, c_ω:

$$\Omega_{\tau} + (c_l y + aU) \,\Omega_y = (c_{\omega} + U_y) \,\Omega, \quad U_x = H\Omega \,. \tag{6}$$

Equivalent to original equation by time rescaling. **Steady state** recovers profiles.

Finite time blowup holds if $c_{\omega} \leq -C < 0$ and is self-similar if Ω, U converge to a profile.

Yixuan Wang

May 11, 2023 20 / 33

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Literature review on DRF

Modulation technique (dispersive equations):

- Nonlinear Schrödinger equation: McLaughlin-Papanicolaou-Sulem-Sulem 1986
- Nonlinear wave equation: Merle, Zaag 2015
- Nonlinear heat equation: Merle, Raphael 1997
- Generalized KdV equation: Martel-Merle-Raphael 2014

Fluid dynamics:

- De Gregorio 1D model: Chen-Hou-Huang 2021
- 2D Boussinesq and 3D Euler with $C^{1,\alpha}$ data: Chen-Hou 2020
- 2D Boussinesq and 3D Euler with smooth data: Chen-Hou 2022

Framework of establishing self-similar blowup

1 Approximate profile: explicit construction; solving DRF/ profile.

Yixuan Wang	Multiscale and Singularity		May 1	1, 2023		22 / 33
	4	< ⊡ >	< ₹ >	< ≣ >	-2	4) Q (3

Framework of establishing self-similar blowup

- Approximate profile: explicit construction; solving DRF/ profile.
- **2 Stability**: all initial data close to the approximate profile would develop finite-time blowup, i.e. the blowup is stable.
 - Weighted L^2 estimate or L^{∞} estimate using characteristics.
 - **Rigorous proof**: interval arithmetic of numerical verifications.



				-	-	00 / 00
rixuan vvang	wultiscale and Singularity		iviay.	11, 2023		22 / 33

Framework of establishing self-similar blowup

- Approximate profile: explicit construction; solving DRF/ profile.
- **2 Stability**: all initial data close to the approximate profile would develop finite-time blowup, i.e. the blowup is stable.
 - Weighted L^2 estimate or L^{∞} estimate using characteristics.
 - **Rigorous proof**: interval arithmetic of numerical verifications.



3 Characterization of the blowup: rate, regularity, asymptotics...

Yixuan Wang

< ロト (同) (三) (三)

Conjecture on blowup regularity of CCF model

CCF model (Cordoba-Cordoba-Fontelos 2005):

$$\omega_t - u\omega_x = u_x\omega, \quad u_x = H\omega.$$
(7)

Conjecture (Conjecture on blowup regularity (Silvestre, Vicol 2016))

Until blowup time, the solution of (7) will have bounded $C^{-1/2}$ -norm.

Our work: (ongoing with Chen, Hou) Construction of a specific self-similar profile disproving the conjecture.

- Smooth profiles do not violate the conjecture numerically.
- Constructed profile $\omega = O(x^{7/6})$ near the origin.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ - 三 - つくべ

A more faithful 1D model: Hou-Li

N-S equation in axisymmetric case:

$$u_{1,t} - r\psi_{1,z}u_{1,r} + (2\psi_1 + r\psi_{1,r})u_{1,z} = 2u_1\psi_{1,z} + \nu\Delta u_1,$$

$$\omega_{1,t} - r\psi_{1,z}\omega_{1,r} + (2\psi_1 + r\psi_{1,r})\omega_{1,z} = (u_1^2)_z + \nu\Delta\omega_1,$$

$$- \left[\partial_r^2 + (3/r)\partial_r + \partial_z^2\right]\psi_1 = \omega_1.$$
(8)

■ Hou-Li (2008) constant approximation in *r*-direction:

$$u_t + 2\psi u_z = 2u\psi_z + \nu u_{zz},$$

$$\omega_t + 2\psi\omega_z = (u^2)_z + \nu\omega_{zz},$$

$$-\psi_{zz} = \omega.$$
(9)

• Model is well-posed in C^1 ; convection is weaker in 3D.

S 61	
Yixiiai	n VVanø
- i i Actarda	

24 / 33

Our result on Hou-Li model

Weak convection model:

$$u_t + 2a\psi u_x = 2u\psi_x + \nu u_{xx},$$

$$\omega_t + 2a\psi\omega_x = (u^2)_x + \nu\omega_{xx},$$

$$-\psi_{xx} = \omega.$$
(10)

Our work: (forthcoming paper with Hou)

Theorem (Blowup of (10) with periodicity in x)

There exists steady blowups with scaling index in space $c_l = 0$, for

- **1** a < 1 close to 1, $\nu = 0$, self-similar blowup with smooth data;
- 2 a < 1 close to 1, $\nu > 0$, blowup with smooth data;
- **3** $a = 1, \nu = 0$, self-similar blowup with any Hölder $\alpha < 1$ regularity.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一日

Proof of linear stability

• Explicit approximate profiles: from the steady state for a = 1.

$$(\bar{\omega}, \bar{u}, \bar{\psi}) = (\sin x, \sin x, \sin x).$$

Linear stability for the perturbation:

$$D \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\|u\|_{\chi_1}^2 + \|\omega\|_{\chi_2}^2) \approx (L_1, u)_{\chi_1} + (L_2, \omega)_{\chi_2} \lesssim -[\|u\|_{\chi_1}^2 + \|\omega\|_{\chi_2}^2].$$
$$L_1 = -2\sin x u_x - 2\cos x \psi + 2u\cos x + 2\sin x \psi_x,$$
$$L_2 = -2\sin x \omega_x - 2\cos x \psi + 2u\cos x + 2\sin x u_x.$$

• Singular weights: $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{1 - \cos x}, \rho_k = (1 + \cos x)^k$ with the norm

$$E_k^2(t) = (u^{(k+1)}, u^{(k+1)}\rho_k) + (\omega^{(k)}, \omega^{(k)}\rho_k).$$

Damping in the leading order term.

Yixuan Wang

Difficulties in linear estimate

Estimate of local and nonlocal terms in L^2 :

$$D_0 = - \left[(u_x, u_x \rho) + (\omega, \omega \rho) + (u, u \rho) \right] + 2 \left[-(\cos x \psi, \omega \rho) + (\sin x \psi, u_x \rho) + (u \cos x, \omega \rho) \right].$$

- **Exact** computation in Fourier basis to avoid overestimate.
- Establish negative-definiteness of quadratic form (w.r.t Fourier coefficients) with decaying entries.
- **Computer-assisted verification** of finite truncation: the quadratic form projected in first 200 basis.

Backup slide: difficulties in viscous terms

• H^k estimates for the viscous term spit out for example

 $(\omega^{(k+2)}, \omega^{(k)}\rho_k) \approx -(\omega^{(k+1)}, \omega^{(k+1)}\rho_k) + C(k)(\omega^{(k)}, \omega^{(k)}\rho_{k-1}).$

Criteria for the norm:

- Linear damping
- Stronger than $W^{3,\infty}$ -norm near the origin
- Control on viscous terms

Combination of a cascade of norms to close the estimate:

$$I^2 = \sum_{k=0}^4 E_k^2 \mu^k \,, \quad \mu \ll 1 \,.$$

Yixuan Wang

May 11, 2023 28

(日) (四) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

28 / 33

Comparison of numerical methods

Adaptive mesh for physical equation: problem specific methods, under-resolution, requires scaling and fitting, only stable profile.

Comparison of numerical methods

- Adaptive mesh for physical equation: problem specific methods, under-resolution, requires scaling and fitting, only stable profile.
- **2** DRF equation: problem specific methods, solves for profiles, only stable profile, high accuracy.

Comparison of numerical methods

- Adaptive mesh for physical equation: problem specific methods, under-resolution, requires scaling and fitting, only stable profile.
- **2** DRF equation: problem specific methods, solves for profiles, only stable profile, high accuracy.
- 3 NN based approach for profile (PINN/PINO): generic method, solves for profiles, unstable profile? high accuracy?
 - Wang-Lai-Gomez-Buckmaster 2022: PINN for 2D Boussinesq profile.
 - Our work: Neurips workshop 2022 and forthcoming paper with Maust, Li et al., on generalizing Fourier Neural Operators to non-periodic problems in 1D and higher dimensions.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一日

Blowup beyond self-similar setting

- Numerical evidence by Hou on 3D Euler/N-S interior singularity: two-scale blowup phenomena, differing by a logarithmic correction.
 - Increase ambient dimension to ≈ 3.1 to observe self-similar blowup.
- We studied non self-similar blowups in modified Burgers' equation.
- Other models with log corrections: hydrostatic Euler equation, nonlinear Schrödinger equation, 2D Keller-Segel equation...

Future work

Singularity formulation:

- Introduce frameworks to study mathematically and numerically blowup with log-like corrections.
- Optimization-based methods (PINNs) to solve numerically blowups unstable in DRF: vary the dimension and identify a blowup with scaling matching the theoretical scaling for N-S.
- Multiscale problems: numerical experiment for higher dimensions; theory for higher-order operators; operator learning for solving local problems.

Backup example: Keller-Segel equation

2D Keller-Segel equation, describing chemotaxis in biology

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u = \Delta u - \nabla \cdot (u \nabla \Phi_u), & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2 \\ 0 = \Delta \Phi_u + u. \end{cases}$$

• Mass preservation; blowup when $M > 8\pi$.

• Stationary profile:
$$Q(x) = \frac{8}{(1+|x|^2)^2}$$
.

Self-similar variables:

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{T-t}w(z,\tau), \quad z = \frac{x}{\sqrt{T-t}}, \quad \frac{d\tau}{dt} = \frac{1}{T-t},$$
$$\partial_{\tau}w = \nabla \cdot (\nabla w - w\nabla \Phi_w) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla \cdot (zw).$$

Backup example: Keller-Segel equation

Blowup variables:

$$w(z,\tau) = Q_{\nu}(z) + \eta(z,\tau), \text{ where } Q_{\nu}(z) = rac{1}{
u^2} Q\left(rac{z}{
u}
ight),$$

while the next-order term η solves

$$\partial_{\tau}\eta = \mathcal{L}^{\nu}\eta + \left(\frac{\nu_{\tau}}{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\nabla\cdot\left(zQ_{\nu}\right) - \nabla\cdot\left(\eta\Phi_{\eta}\right), \quad \nu \to 0 \text{ unknown ,}$$

Final result: (Collot-Ghoul-Masmoudi-Nguyen 2021)

$$\begin{split} u(x,t) &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2(t)} \left[Q\left(\frac{x-a(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right) + \varepsilon(x,t) \right] \,, \\ \lambda(t) &\sim 2e^{-\frac{\gamma+2}{2}} \sqrt{T-t} \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{|\log(T-t)|}}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \,. \end{split}$$